	id Query 1		
	Craphts Consultants (I) Pvt. Ltd.		dated 14-02-2023
S.No	Queries	Clarifications Required from Client	UPSHA,s reply
1	Ref. page 15, section 2.2 sub section D reg. Conditions of Eligibility for key Personnel.		No change in required. Conditions of eligibility will be As per RFQ cum RFP
2	Ref. page 28, section 2.20 regarding Bid Security: The Applicant shall furnish as part if it Proposal, a bid security of Rs. 2,00,000.00 (Rs. Two Lacs) in the from of a Demand Draft issued by one of the nationalized/Scheduled Bank in India in favour of the Chief Executive Officer, Uttar Pradesh State Highways Authority payable at Lucknow.	It is requested to kindly accept the Bid Security in the from of Bank Guarantee kindly provide the format of BG for the same.	No change in required. Conditions of eligibility will be As per RFQ cum RFP
3	Ref. page 14 section 2.2.2 subsection B regarding financial capacity: The Applicant shall have received a minimum income of Rs. 12.53 crore per annum from professional fees during each of the 3 (three) financial years preceding the Proposal Due Date.	It is requested to kindly reduce the requirement of financial eligibility to 2 cr. keeping in view the financial hardship of MSME's.	No change in required. Conditions of eligibility will be As per RFQ cum RFP

M/s T	ranslink Infras	dated 20-02-2023		
SI. No.	RFP Reference (Sec No., clause, Pg No.)	Content of RFP	Clarification Sought	UPSHA Reply
1	Page no. 14 and 36	2.2 Financial Capacity 3.1.4 Eligible Assignments	The eligibility criteria set in the RFP is on very higher side and this limit the participation of bidders. We request the authority to kindly relax the eligibility criteria in terms of definition of eligible assignment and minimum turnover.	RFP issued by Planning

2.	Page no 35	The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation	The marking system adopted by the authority is on Proportionate basis and hence self-evaluation is not possible. We request authority to kindly adopt the marking process as followed by MoRTH/NHAI tenders this will help in self- evaluating the proposal	For selection of technical consultant we have adopted model RFP issued by Planning Commission Govt. of India Second edition 2014 and no relaxation in eligibility criteria is proposed.
3.	Instruction to Applicants, page 26	It may participate in the Selection Process either individually (the "Sole Firm:) or as lead member of a consortium of firma (the "lead member:) in response to this invitation.	it is understood that submission in JV is allowed and eligibility requirement is to be satisfied jointly.	As per PPP Guideline for Selection of Consultant, Consultant may associate with each other to form a Consortium to complement their respective areas of expertise, or for other reasons of The Consortium may take a form a joint venture. In case of Joint venture all member of joint venture shall sign the contract and shall be jointly and severally liable for the entire assignment.
4.		Award of Contact	Authority has published total 21 packages, we request to kindly limit the maximum no. of packages to be awarded to one Consultant/bidder/firm to 02 package only, even if submitted teams.	

Pre-bi	d Query 3			1 . 1 . 20 . 02 . 2022
M/s Si	ieladia Associates	Ine		dated 20-02-2023
S.No.	Reference of	Description (RFP)	Query of Consultant	UPSHA,s reply
	RFP Document			
1	Clause 2.1	Sub-Clause 2.1.1: Detailed		As per PPP Guideline
	"Scope of	description of the objectives,		for Selection of
	Proposal" of	scope of service, Deliverable		Consultant, Consultant
	Instructions to	and other requirements relating	Consortium and what is	may associate with each
	Bidders of	to this Consultancy are		other to form a
1	Request for	specified in this RFP. In case	Firm allowed in the	Consortium to
	Proposal (RFP)	an applicant firm possesses the	Consortium,	complement their
	Sub-Clause	requisite experience and		respective areas of
	2.1.1	capabilities required for	need to provide any JV	expertise, or for other
		undertaking the Consultancy, It	Agreement/Memorandum	reasons. The
		may participate in the Selection	of Understanding (MOU)	Consortium may take a
		Process either individually	for the purpose? If yes,	
		(the"Sole Firm") or as lead	Please provide the format	case for of Joint venture
		member of a consortium of		all member of joint
		firms (the "Lead Member")		venture shall sign the
		in response to this invitation	1 ` '	contract and shall be
		The team applicant (the	Consortium/Joint Venture,	jointly and severally
		"Applicant") means the Sole	1	liable for the entire
1		Firm or the Lead Member, as		

		Firm or the Lead Member, as		assignment.
		the case may be. The manner in		1
		which the Proposal is required	Consortium shall be	
		to be submitted, evaluated and		
1		accepted is explained in this	of Technical Scores &	
<u> </u>		RFP.	Financial Scores?	
2	Clause 2.2	Sub-Clause 2.2.5: Any entity		As Per RFQ cum RFP
	"Condition of		& Note in Clause 1.6 any	AS FEI REQ CUIII REP
	Eligibility of	Central Government, any State	firm blacklisted in last 5	
	Applicants of		years is not eligible for the	
	Instructions to	,		
	Bidders of		assignment whereas as per	
	Request for	,	Sub-Clause 2.2.5, any firm	
	Proposal (RFP)	project and the bar subsists as	barred as on date of	
	Sub-Clause		Proposal is not eligible to	
	2.2.5	on the date of Proposal, would	submit the proposal.	
	&	not be eligible to submit a		
	APPENDIX-I	Proposal either be itself or	Please clarify on this.	
	1	through its Associate.		
	Form-2	&	Tender Inviting	
	Particulars of	1 () the most approach of dity	Authorities like NHAI,	
	the Applicant of		MoRTH, UPEIDA are	
	RFP SI. No. 1.6.	blacklisted be any Government	considering proposals of	
		department/Public Sector	Firm who are not barred as	
4		Undertaking in the last five	on date of Proposal.	
		years? Yes/No	_	
1		Note: If answer to any	Hence, please consider	
		questions at (ii) to (v) is yes,	provisions of Sub-Clause	
1		the Applicant is not eligible	2.2.5 in Clause 1.6 (iv) of	
	,	for this consultancy	Form-2, Appendix-1.	
		assignment.		
3	Clause 2.2	Sub-Clause 2.2.5:An	As per Appendix Form-2	As Per RFQ cum RFP
	"Condition of	Applicant or its Associate	& Note in Clause 1.6 any	
	Eligibility of	should have, during the last	firm penalized in last 3	
	Applicants of	three years, neither failed to	years is not eligible for the	
	Instructions to	perform on any agreement, as	assignment whereas as per	
	Bidders of	evidenced by imposition of a	Sub-Clause 2.2.6 of RFP	
	Request for	penalty by an arbitral or	and Clause-6 of Form-I of	
	Proposal (RFP)	judicial authority or a judicial	RFP, any firm penalized in	
	Sub-Clause	pronouncement or arbitration	last 3 years is not eligible	
	2.2.6	award against the Applicant or	to submit the proposal.	1
]	and	its Associate, nor have had any	propositi	
	APPENDIX-I	agreement terminated for	Please clarify on this.	
	Form-	breach by such Applicant or its		
	1Technical	Associate, and in	Tender Inviting	
	Proposal of	Clause 6:I/We certify that in	Authorities like NHAI,	
	RFP SI. No. 1.6.	the last three years, we or any	MoRTH, UPEIDA are	
		of our Associates have neither	considering proposals of	
		failed to perform on any	Firm who are not	
		contract, as evidenced by	penalized in last 3 years or	
		imposition of a penalty by an	as on date of Proposal.	
		arbitral or arbitration award	as on date of Froposal.	
	&		Hence, please consider	8
l l		di a a appirount, noi occii		
Ī	APPENDIX-I	expelled from any project or	provisions of Sub-Clause	I I

.

	Form-2	contract by any public authority	2.2.6 in Clause 6 of Form-	
	Particulars of	for breach on our part.	I of RFP in Clause 1.6 (ii)	
	the Applicant of	&	of Form-2, Appendix-1.	
	RFP SI. No. 1.6.	1		
		th Members in case of a		
		consortium been penalized by		
1		any organization for poor		
		quality of work or breach of		
		contract in the last five years?		
		Yes/No		
		Note: If answer to any		
		questions at (ii) to (v) is yes,		
		the Applicant is not eligible		
		for this consultancy	1	
		assignment.		

. .

SI. No.	Page no.	Section	Clause	Query	UPSHA,s reply
1				There are 21 packages for which RFP has been published for selection of Technical Consultant for Feasibility Study. You are requested to clarify whether a bidder can participate in more then one package or not. If yes, then please clarify whether the same team can be used for any number of packages.	Clause 2.4 of Instructions to Applicants is self explanatory.
2.	20, 66	1 introduction Schedule 1, Terms of Reference	1.1.2 and 1.1.3, 3.18 of TOR	As per Sections 1.1.2 and 1.1.3 of Introduction, it has been mentioned that Authority has decided to carry to the process for selection of Technical, Financial and Legal Consultant. It is also mentioned that Technical Consultant shall provide Technical Requirements and Specifications, Financial Consultant shall provide revenue model and legal consultant shall reviewed the Concession Agreement. Also the title of the RFP is Selection of Technical Consultant for Feasibility Study. Therefore, based upon above, our understanding is that as part of percent study on Technical Activities shall be carried out and for financial	The Authority is seeking the comfort of a single consultancy firm to handle all aspects of project preparation and award including financial analysis and preparation of consultant agreement.

				Concession Agreement, separate consultants shall be appointed. If so, then it is requested to exclude 'Selection 3.18 Financial Analysis and Bid Process' from the Terms of Reference.	
3.	79	Section 8 - Consultancy	8.1 (e) - Financial Analyst	Similar to the above, there is position proposed for Financial Analyst. Therefore, it is requested that in case there is o scope of financial analysis as part or the study then the position of Financial Expert may be replaced with Social Expert.	As par RFQ cum RFP
4.	77	Section 6 - Time and Payment Schedule	Section 6.2 and 6.5	As per the Delivery Schedule Table mentioned in Section 6.2, the Final Payment of 10% is due as KD-7 Selection of Independent Engineer whereas in Section 6.5, it is mentioned that "Final Payment to be made to the Consultant upon execution of the Concession Agreement". Kindly clarify.	Execution of Concession Agreement and Selection of Independent Engineer are simultaneous activities. In case Concession Agreement does not get executed class 6.5 would be effective.
5	79	Section 7 - Meetings		As per this Section it is mentioned that "The expenses towards attending such meetings during the period of Consultancy, including travel costs and per diem, shall be reimbursed in accordance with the Financial Proposal contained in Form-2 of Appendix-II of the RFP. The days required to be spent at the office of the Authority shall be computed at the rate of 8 (eight) man hours a day in case of an outstation Consultant. For a Consultant having its office within or near the city where the Authority,s office is situated, the time spent during meetings at the Authority's office shall be calculated as per actual. No travel time shall be payable except in case of an expatriate consultant who will be entitled to claim actual travel time, subject to a maximum of 10 (ten) man hours for a return journey." However, as per Payment schedule mentioned in section 6.2, the	Financial proposal in form 2 item H is self explanatory.

	payments shall be made as lump sum amount based respective Deliverable. Kindly clarify this anomaly.	
--	--	--

Pre-bio	d Query 5	
M/s Cı	reative Engineering Services	dated 22-02-2023
Sl. No.	Query	UPSHA Reply
1	Can the Consultancy firms participate in Joint Venture (JV)/Consortium or Association?	As per PPP Guideline for Selection of Consultant, Consultant may associate with each other to form A Consortium to complement their respective areas of expertise, or for other reasons. The Consortium may take a form of joint venture. In case of Joint venture all member of joint venture shall sign the contract and shall be jointly and severally liable for the entire assignment.
2.	What is the eligibility criteria for the JV member, Consortium Member and associate member firm.	As per PPP Guideline for Selection of Consultant,

Pre-bi	d Query 6	
M/s E	ngineering Consultancy Services	dated 22-02-2023
Sl. No.	Query	UPSHA Reply
1	Estimated Capital Cost of each project has been outlined in the RFP.	Estimated cost of the project (Indicative cost of the Project) has been arrived @ Rs. 20 Crore Per Km. Therefore according to length of the project estimated cost is different for each package.
2.	Financial Capacity of the participating consultants have been defined as a percentage of the Estimated Capital Cost (Clause no. 2.2.2 (B) at page 27 of RFP)	as per standard bidding documents for selection of technical consultant issued by Planning commission Govt. of India the financial capacity of the bidders has been assessed @ 1% minimum as given in the foot note of original document of GOI.
3	This is a new practice which has been incorporated in the RFP. Usually, only for AE/IE projects this practice is followed by all agencies.	For selection of technical consultant we have adopted model RFP issued by Planning Commission Govt. of India Second edition 2014 and no relaxation in eligibility criteria is proposed.
4	Major agencies like NHAI, MoRTH, State PWD,s and other agencies restricts the financial capacity of the participating consultant maximum at INR5 crores only for Design projects. (enclosing relevant pages from one of such tenders of these	eligibility criteria is proposed.

agencies	for	vour	ready	reference	1
ageneres	IUI	your	reauy	I CICI CIICE	1.

. . . .

Pre-l	Pre-bid Query 7							
M/s Infinite Civil Solution Pvt. Ltd. dated 23-02-2023								
Sl. No.	Clause / Pg. No. of RFP	As per RFP	Query	UPSHA Reply				
I	3.1.3 Pg. No. 35	The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation	We request you to please provide us the detailed evaluation criteria Key Personnel, so that we may proposed highest scorer key personnel for competitive bidding.	As per RFQ cum RFP				
2.	2.1. of A. General Pg. No. 13	In case an applicant firm possesses the requisite experience and capabilities required for undertaking the Consultancy it may participate in the Selection Process either individually (the "Sole firm") or as lead Member of a consortium of firms (the "Lead Member") in response to this invitation.	Joint venture or consortium mentioned as consultant but Joint Venture eligibility Criteria is not given, how we can calculate the eligibility criteria for JV partner. Pls clarify us the eligibility criteria.	As per PPP Guideline for Selection of Consultant, Consultant may associate with each other to form A Consortium to complement their respective areas of expertise, or for other reasons. The Consortium may take a form a joint venture. In case of Joint venture all member of joint venture shall sign the contract and shall be jointly and severally liable for the entire assignment.				
3.	3.1.4 (i) Page no. 36	[Four - laning of a national or state highway] having an estimated capital cost (excluding land) of at least [Rs. 642 (Six Hundred Forty Two) crore] in case of a project in India. With reference to the RFP issued by UPSHA for DPR of SH for various district in UP	According to RFP only four laning of NH of SH project are eligible but enlighten the project requirement for which RFP has been issued we would like to request please consider the Two/Four laning project for better competitive bidding.	As per RFQ cum RFP				

4.	3.1.4 (ii) Pg. no.	Any project	Please clarify, whether we	As per RFQ cum RFP
	36	involving construction and having an estimated capital cost (excluding land) of	have to produce an undertaking regarding excluding land as because we have experience certificate but here is no	
	*-	at least [Rs. 1604 (One Thousand Six Hundred Four) crore] in case of a project in India.	bifurcation.	

(Vimal Kumar)
General Manager (Technical)

(Manish Kumar) Member (Technical) (Ashok Kumar Singh) Member (Finance)